

REMARKS

The above amendment and these remarks are responsive to the Office Action of Examiner Aaron N. Strange dated 12/28/2008.

Claims 1-106 are in the case. Claims 18-31 have been allowed, claims 49-106 rejected, and claims 1-17 and 32-48 objected to but indicated as allowable.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-17, 32-48, and apparently 63-70, have been objected to as informal. Applicants have amended claims to correct the informalities as suggested by the Examiner.

35 U.S.C. 101

Claims 49-70 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants have amended claims 49, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 63 and 70, and thus their respective dependent claims, to positively recite that the logic elements and exit programs are stored in memory devices at the client or host.

Applicants urge that claims 49-70 be allowed.

35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 60, 62, 71-106 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite.

Claims 60 and 62, and thereby their respective dependent claims, have been amended to clarify the antecedent basis for the "said logic element" limitation.

Claims 71 and 88, and thereby their respective dependent claims, have been amended to clarify that the program is stored on a storage device.

Claims 105 and 106 have been amended to remove the redundant storage medium recitations.

Applicants urge that claims 1-106 be allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 and 32-48 have been allowed, presumably subject to correction of the claim objections previously discussed. While a specific recitation of such is not present in the Detailed Action, in the Office Action Summary, claims 18-31 are also allowed.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Applicants urge that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 1-106.

The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Sincerely,

R. G. Hartmann, et al.

By


Shelley M Beckstrand
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 26 Mar 2008

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.
Patent Attorney
61 Glenmont Road
Woodlawn, VA 24381-1341

Phone: (276) 238-1972

Fax: (276) 238-1545